

Notes on Quasi-Homogeneous Functions in Thermodynamics

F. Belgiorno*

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy
(February 2, 2008)

A special kind of quasi-homogeneity occurring in thermodynamic potentials of standard thermodynamics is pointed out. Some formal consequences are also discussed.

PACS: 05.70.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-homogeneous functions have been introduced in the framework of standard thermodynamics with the aim to studying scaling and universality near the critical point [1,2]. A common synonymous of “quasi-homogeneous function” is “generalized homogeneous function” [see e.g. [1,2,3]]. We wish to point out here that quasi-homogeneity can be an useful tool in the framework of standard thermodynamics, when one considers intensive variables as independent variables for the equilibrium thermodynamics description of a system. In fact, homogeneity for the fundamental equation in the entropy representation [and in the energy representation] is well-defined in terms of the standard Euler theorem for homogeneous functions [4]. One simply defines the standard Euler operator (sometimes called also Liouville operator) and requires the entropy [energy] to be an homogeneous function of degree one. When the other thermodynamic potentials which are obtained from the entropy [energy] are taken into account by means of suitable Legendre transformations, then part of the independent variables are intensive [4]. The thermodynamic potentials are still homogeneous of degree one in the extensive independent variables, but a different rescaling is appropriate for the independent variables. For example, let us consider the Gibbs potential $G(T, p, N)$ for a system which is described by means of three independent variables T, p, N . G is homogeneous of degree one when the system is rescaled by λ , such a rescaling corresponding only to a rescaling $N \rightarrow \lambda N$, because T and p are intensive and remain unchanged under rescaling of the system. This is evident because, as it is well known, one has $G = \mu(p, T)N$, where μ is the chemical potential. Actually, one could also define G as a quasi-homogeneous function of degree one with weights $(0, 0, 1)$. Then the behavior under scaling is better defined. A mathematical treatment of the same problem is found in Ref. [5]. The approach we present here is characterized by the more general setting allowed by the technology of quasi-homogeneous functions; the sections on the Gibbs-Duhem equation and the on Pfaffian forms contain a further analysis of some formal aspects of standard thermodynamics.

II. QUASI-HOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS AND THERMODYNAMICS

Given a set of real coordinates $x^1, \dots, x^n$ and a set of weights $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a function $F(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ is quasi-homogeneous of degree r and type α [6] if, under dilatations by a scale factor $\lambda > 0$ one finds

$$F(\lambda^{\alpha_1} x^1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_n} x^n) = \lambda^r F(x^1, \dots, x^n). \quad (1)$$

A differentiable quasi-homogeneous function satisfies a generalized Euler identity:

$$D F = r F, \quad (2)$$

where D is the Euler vector field

$$D \equiv \alpha_1 x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \dots + \alpha_n x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}. \quad (3)$$

*E-mail address: belgiorno@mi.infn.it

Notice that (2) is a necessary and sufficient condition for a differentiable function to be quasi-homogeneous [6]. It is also interesting to define quasi-homogeneous Pfaffian forms. A Pfaffian form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i(x) dx^i \quad (4)$$

is quasi-homogeneous of degree $r \in \mathbb{R}$ if, under the scaling

$$x^1, \dots, x^n \rightarrow \lambda^{\alpha_1} x^1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_n} x^n \quad (5)$$

one finds

$$\omega \rightarrow \lambda^r \omega. \quad (6)$$

This happens if and only if the degree of quasi-homogeneity $\deg(\omega_i(x))$ of $\omega_i(x)$ is such that $\deg(\omega_i(x)) = r - \alpha_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$. For a discussion about quasi-homogeneity and for further references, see [7].

A. quasi-homogeneous potentials in standard thermodynamics

Let us consider a thermodynamic potential $R(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n)$ depending on k intensive variables $y^1, \dots, y^k$ and $n - k$ extensive variables $x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n$. R is required to be quasi-homogeneous of degree 1 and its type is

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_k, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-k}). \quad (7)$$

Then, one has

$$R = \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^i}. \quad (8)$$

This expression of the thermodynamic potentials is well-known, it is sometimes referred to as the identity satisfied by the potentials at fixed intensive variables [8]. A treatment on a mathematical ground of the same topic is found in Ref. [5]. It is evident that, in order to ensure that R is a degree one quasi-homogeneous function, the intensive variables can be at most $n - 1$, in which case (cf. also the following section)

$$R = x^n \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^n} \equiv x^n r(y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}), \quad (9)$$

where $r(y^1, \dots, y^{n-1})$ is of degree zero.

We recall that, given the fundamental equation of thermodynamics in the energy [entropy] representation, one can obtain other fundamental equations by means of the Legendre transform [4]. It is easy to show that:

the Legendre transform with respect to a variable of weight α of a quasi-homogeneous function of degree r is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree r with the weight α changed into the weight $r - \alpha$ of the Legendre-conjugate variable (theorem 2 of [1]).

Moreover,

the partial derivative with respect to a variable of weight α of a quasi-homogeneous function R of degree r is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree $r - \alpha$ having the same type as R (theorem 1 of [1]). See also [7].

These results allow to justify easily the following examples.

For the free energy $F(T, V, N)$, one has $F = U - TS$, thus F is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree 1 and of weights $(0, 1, 1)$, and

$$F(T, V, N) = V \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} + N \frac{\partial F}{\partial N}. \quad (10)$$

Analogously,

$$S(T, V, N) = V \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} + N \frac{\partial S}{\partial N}. \quad (11)$$

[In fact, $S = -\partial F/\partial T$ and theorem 1 of [1] can be applied]. Moreover, given $S(T, p, N)$, one has

$$S(T, p, N) = N \frac{\partial S}{\partial N}. \quad (12)$$

In concluding this section, we point out that the distinction between degree and weights of thermodynamic variables is somehow artificial, a degree becoming a weight if the thermodynamic variable is changed into an independent variable (e.g., the degree zero of the pressure becomes a weight zero when p is an independent variable).

III. GIBBS-DUHEM EQUATIONS

Herein we take into account the Gibbs-Duhem equations. Cf. also [5]. Let us define

$$R_i \equiv \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^i}; \quad R_a \equiv \frac{\partial R}{\partial y^a} \quad (13)$$

one has

$$dR = \sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a + \sum_{i=k+1}^n R_i dx^i. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, one obtains from (8)

$$dR = \sum_{i=k+1}^n R_i dx^i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i dR_i. \quad (15)$$

The GD equation is then

$$\sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i dR_i = 0. \quad (16)$$

This equation is related with the quasy-homogeneity symmetry of the potential. Let us define the Euler operator

$$X \equiv \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \quad (17)$$

Let us also define a 1-form

$$\omega_R \equiv \sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a + \sum_{i=k+1}^n R_i dx^i \quad (18)$$

where R_a are quasi-homogeneous functions of degree one $X R_a = R_a$ and the R_i are quasi-homogeneous functions of degree zero $X R_i = 0$. Then ω_R is a quasi-homogeneous 1-form of degree one, in the sense that it satisfies $L_X \omega_R = \omega_R$, where L_X is the Lie derivative associated with X . One can also define a function

$$R \equiv i_X \omega_R, \quad (19)$$

where i_X is the standard contraction operator. As a consequence, one finds

$$dR = d(i_X \omega_R) = -i_X d\omega_R + L_X \omega_R = -i_X d\omega_R + \omega_R \quad (20)$$

If ω_R is a closed 1-form (and then, exact in the convex thermodynamic domain), then $d\omega_R = 0$ and $dR = \omega_R$, i.e. R is the potential associated with ω_R . Notice also that in the latter case one finds

$$-i_X d\omega_R = 0 \quad (21)$$

which corresponds to the Gibbs-Duhem equation. In fact, one has

$$d\omega_R = \sum_{a=1}^k dR_a \wedge dy^a + \sum_{i=k+1}^n dR_i \wedge dx^i \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i_X d\omega_R &= \sum_{a=1}^k (i_X dR_a) dy^a - \sum_{a=1}^k dR_a (i_X dy^a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=k+1}^n (i_X dR_i) dx^i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n dR_i (i_X dx^i) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i dR_i = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $i_X dR_a = X R_a = R_a$, and $i_X dR_i = X R_i = 0$.

The converse is also true, i.e., if (21) is satisfied then from (20) follows that ω_R is closed.

The GD equation is then satisfied because of the equality of the mixed second derivatives of R (Schwartz theorem) and because of the quasi-homogeneous symmetry. In fact, by defining $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ the matrix of the second partial derivatives of R , one finds

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i dR_i = \sum_{a=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ia} dy^a + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ij} dx^j. \quad (24)$$

Then the Gibbs-Duhem equation (16) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{a=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ia} dy^a = \sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a \quad (25)$$

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ij} dx^j = 0. \quad (26)$$

The former formula (25) is implemented if both Schwartz theorem and the quasi-homogeneous symmetry are implemented. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ia} dy^a &= \sum_{a=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} R \right) dy^a \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} R \right) dy^a \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{\partial R}{\partial y^a} dy^a = \sum_{a=1}^k R_a dy^a. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Also (26) is implemented, in fact

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i Q_{ij} dx^j = \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} R \right) dx^j \quad (28)$$

$$= \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial x^j} \right) dx^j, \quad (29)$$

and the latter is zero because $\partial R / \partial x^i$ are functions of degree zero for all $i = k+1, \dots, n$.

IV. PFAFFIAN FORM δQ_{rev}

Let us consider the Pfaffian form δQ_{rev} for a system described by (T, V, N) , where T is the absolute temperature; one has

$$\delta Q_{rev} = C_{VN}(T)dT + a(T, V, N)dV + b(T, V, N)dN. \quad (30)$$

δQ_{rev} has to be integrable, i.e., it satisfies $\delta Q_{rev} \wedge d(\delta Q_{rev}) = 0$, and it is known that T is an integrating factor for δQ_{rev} , with

$$\frac{\delta Q_{rev}}{T} = dS. \quad (31)$$

Then, one finds that

$$\frac{\delta Q_{rev}}{T} = \frac{C_{VN}(T)}{T}dT + \frac{a(T, V, N)}{T}dV + \frac{b(T, V, N)}{T}dN \quad (32)$$

is exact and a potential is given by

$$S = \frac{a(T, V, N)}{T} V + \frac{b(T, V, N)}{T} N. \quad (33)$$

Notice that the quasi-homogeneity of degree one of S is the tool allowing to obtain this result. It is “trivial” that S is the potential associated with $\delta Q_{rev}/T$, it is less trivial that its “homogeneity” leads to (33). For a proof, see the appendix. δQ_{rev} is quasi-homogeneous of degree one and weights $(0, 1, 1)$. From the theory of quasi-homogeneous integrable Pfaffian forms [7], it is known that an integrating factor is also given by

$$f = a(T, V, N) V + b(T, V, N) N. \quad (34)$$

The proof is found in Ref. [7]. It is evident that

$$f = TS. \quad (35)$$

Analogously, one can consider (T, p, N) as independent variables

$$\delta Q_{rev} = C_{pN}(T)dT + \eta(T, p, N)dp + \zeta(T, p, N)dN, \quad (36)$$

in which case

$$f = \zeta(T, p) N = TS. \quad (37)$$

APPENDIX A: POTENTIALS OF EXACT QUASI-HOMOGENEOUS PFAFFIAN FORMS

We show that, if

$$\omega = \sum_{a=1}^k B_a(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dy^a + \sum_{i=k+1}^n B_i(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^i \quad (A1)$$

is a C^2 exact quasi-homogeneous Pfaffian form of degree one, with B_a, x^i quasi-homogeneous of degree one and B_i, y^a quasi-homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the Euler operator

$$Y = \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad (A2)$$

then

$$P(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) \equiv \sum_{i=k+1}^n B_i(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) x^i \quad (A3)$$

is a potential associated with ω . In fact, let us consider

$$dP = \sum_{i=k+1}^n B_i(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i dB_i(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$= \sum_{i=k+1}^n B_i(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x^j}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^j \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$+ \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial y^a}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dy^a. \quad (\text{A6})$$

The exactness of the Pfaffian form ω implies that $d\omega = 0$ and, in particular

$$\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial y^a} = \frac{\partial B_a}{\partial x^i} \quad a = 1, \dots, k; \quad i = k+1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x^i} \quad i, j = k+1, \dots, n \quad (\text{A8})$$

Then, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial y^a}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dy^a = \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{a=1}^k \frac{\partial B_a}{\partial x^i}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dy^a \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} B_a(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) \right) dy^a \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k B_a(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dy^a, \quad (\text{A11})$$

because each B_a is quasi-homogeneous of degree one. On the other hand, one has

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x^j}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^j = \sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x^i}(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) dx^j \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$= \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} B_j(y^1, \dots, y^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n) \right) dx^j \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$= 0, \quad (\text{A14})$$

because each B_i is quasi-homogeneous of degree zero.

- [1] A.Hankey and H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev. **B6**, 3515 (1972).
- [2] V.D.Neff, Phys. Rev. **B9**, 3153 (1974).
- [3] J.Aczel, *Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications*. Academic, New York (1966).
- [4] H.B.Callen, *Thermodynamics and an introduction to Thermostatistics*, Wiley, New York, (1985).
- [5] J.M.Honig, *Thermodynamics. Principles characterizing physical and chemical processes*. Academic Press, San Diego, (1999).
- [6] D.V.Anosov et al., *Ordinary Differential Equations and Smooth Dynamical Systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997).
- [7] F.Belgiorno, *Quasi-homogeneous Thermodynamics and Black Holes*. To appear (2002).
- [8] J.A.Beattie and I.Oppenheim, *Principles of Thermodynamics*. Studies in Modern Thermodynamics 2, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1979).